Characteristics and sources of PM$_{2.5}$ with focus on two severe pollution events in a coastal city of Qingdao, China
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HIGHLIGHTS
- The local emission in Qingdao only contributed on average of one fourth during winter 2015.
- The transport plays a major role in modulating the air pollution in Qingdao.
- The sources of PM$_{2.5}$ in Qingdao may vary dramatically in a short period of time.

ABSTRACT
In this study, the seasonal mean PM$_{2.5}$ concentration in Qingdao, a coastal city, during 2014–2018 was first analyzed and the winter, in particular of 2015, showed the highest concentration. To elucidate the sources and control factors of PM$_{2.5}$, three-dimensional model Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF), Community Multiscale Air Quality model (CMAQ), as well as Flexible Particle model (FLEXPART), were used. During December 2015 and January 2016, modeling results showed that the mean contribution to PM$_{2.5}$ mass concentrations from local emissions in Qingdao was 25%, and the transport from north and west accounted for almost half. Over the two episodically polluted periods (29–31 December 2015; 15–17 January 2016), the local emissions in Qingdao surprisingly contributed to only 18% and 24% to PM$_{2.5}$ mass concentrations, respectively, indicating the dominant contributions from other regions, such as areas outside Qingdao in Shandong and Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei (BTH). The results show the sources region and contribution may vary remarkably along with the change in the pathways of the air parcel, inferred by the FLEXPART, while the near-surface PM$_{2.5}$ enhancement is largely caused by downward vertical advection and enhanced aerosol chemistry reactions, accompanied by simultaneous drop in the boundary layer height. This study also reveals that the transport contribution is sensitive to the air parcel trajectories. We, therefore, recommend the efficient emission control based on transport trajectories in short-term air quality improvement in Qingdao.

© 2020 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.
1. Introduction

In recent years, China has experienced rapid economic development and urbanization, resulting in excessive emissions released into the atmosphere (Chan and Yao, 2008). The northern China is the area which was often invaded by severe haze pollution with high fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) concentrations (Ji et al., 2014; An et al., 2019; Duan et al., 2019). A number of studies have focused on the investigation of PM_{2.5} pollution in North China Plain (Sun et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2016; Du et al., 2019; Miao et al., 2019), in particular of the so-called “2 + 26” cities in Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei and surrounding regions (Wang et al., 2019). The studies in coastal areas are, however, limited, although more and more population is migrating from inland to coastal areas. For example, Qingdao is a coastal city located in Shandong Peninsula of eastern China and has the population of 9.4 million in 2018 with an increase of 1.0 \times 10^5 population per year (Qingdao statistical Yearbook, 2018; https://chinabookshop.net/shop?olsPage=products). It has been reported that Qingdao also suffers from the public human health problem associated with air pollution (Huang et al., 2018). In addition, the air pollutants therein are also more likely to affect the marine environment through the transport and deposition and play crucial roles in modulating the marine primary production (Zhang et al., 2019b). Therefore, it is vital to characterize the PM_{2.5} in Qingdao, analyze PM_{2.5} sources and reveal mechanisms in increasing PM_{2.5} concentrations in particular during the severe pollution events.

Qingdao is featured by the heating season in winter, similar to other inland northern cities such as Beijing, but clean marine environment in summer, which may therefore face large winter and summer particle ratio in contrast to the southern coastal cities. For instance, in terms of the total carbon (the summation of organic carbon and element carbon), the ratio of winter to summer in Qingdao is 5.1, much higher than the southern coastal cities such as Shanghai (2.3), Xiamen (3.5), Guangzhou (4.0) and Hong Kong (1.6) in 2003 (Gao et al., 2007). More emissions and worse dispersion conditions determined that severe PM_{2.5} pollution events occurred mainly in winter rather than other seasons (Gao et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2019c).

PM_{2.5} pollution is often attributed to both the modulation of meteorology and emissions. For example, by comparing the two same month of December in two adjacent years (2014 vs. 2015), Chang et al. (2016) concluded that PM_{2.5} pollution in December 2015 was likely caused by the enhanced southeasterly wind and decreased wind speed in China. Similar comparison was also conducted in Liu et al. (2017), showing that lower planetary boundary layer height (PBLH) and higher relative humidity was found in December 2015 relative to 2014 in Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei areas. Moreover, Zhang et al. (2019a) further investigated the mechanism, and found that a seesaw pattern of high PM_{2.5} in December and relatively low PM_{2.5} concentration in January 2016 over the North China Plain, mainly attributable to the changes of Arctic Oscillation (AO) which induced the sub-seasonal variations. Similarly, during December 2015, Qingdao experienced severe haze pollution events (Li et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2019d), and the underlying mechanism and sources of PM_{2.5} in Qingdao are still unclear, which is one of the major targets of this study.

In what follows, the seasonal variations in PM_{2.5} mass concentration during the past five years in Qingdao were first characterized, followed by the model evaluation of PM_{2.5} and the associated inorganic species. At the end, the three dimensional models of WRF/CMAQ, CMAQ-ISAM and WRF/FLEXPART were utilized to elucidate the governing processes and sources of PM_{2.5}.

2. Methods and model configurations

The observational data such as PM_{2.5}, SO_{2} and NO_{2} were downloaded from http://www.pm25in (last access: 15 December 2018). The inorganic aerosol ions such as SO_{4}^{2-}, NO_{3} and NH_{4} in PM_{2.5} as well as NH_{3}, SO_{2}, HNO_{3} and HNO_{2} gases were measured hourly by the URG-9000D Ambient Ion Monitor-Ion Chromatograph (AIM-IC) during 18 November 2015 to 11 January 2016 on the second floor of an academic building in the campus of Ocean University of China (OUC; 36.16°N, 120.50°E), located at the semi-urban area of Qingdao. More details about the measurement method can be found in Teng et al. (2017).

Three dimensional Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) model version 3.8.1 (Skamarock et al., 2008) was used to produce the meteorological data, which can be further used as input for both the Eulerian model Community Multiscale Air Quality model (CMAQ) version 5.2 (Byun and Schere, 2006) and lagrangian model Flexible Particle model (FLEXPART) version 3.1 (Briouille et al., 2013). For source-receptor, CMAQ Integrated Source Apportionment Method (CMAQ-ISAM) 5.0.2 was used. WRF/CMAQ has been widely used in previous studies (Gao et al., 2013; Kong et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2019a). The setting of WRF/CMAQ is the same as documented by Zhang et al. (2019a) and Ma et al. (2019). FLEXPART was mainly used to forward or backward illuminate the transport and diffusion of atmospheric pollutants by tracking the tracers of particles released from sources. The process analysis (PA) is a module embedded in CMAQ which can calculate the contributions of physical and chemical processes to various of species. For the particulate matters, the Integrated Process Rate (IPR) was available to investigate contributions of nine individual processes, including horizontal advection (HADV), horizontal diffusion (HDIF), vertical advection (ZADV), vertical diffusion (VDIF), gas phase chemistry (CHEM), dry deposition (DDEP), cloud processes (CLDS), aerosol processes (AERO) and emission (EMIS).

3. Characteristics of PM_{2.5} measured in Qingdao

Seasonal mean PM_{2.5} concentrations in each year from 2014 to 2018 at the nine stations are shown in Fig. 1, with mean and standard deviation shown in Table S1 in the supporting information. The mean PM_{2.5} concentration in winter over the nine stations in Qingdao is 67 \pm 13 \mu g/m^3, substantially higher than the mean values of 45 \pm 10 \mu g/m^3 in spring, 28 \pm 9 \mu g/m^3 in summer and 38 \pm 10 \mu g/m^3 in fall, respectively. The nine stations in Qingdao show a general decrease of PM_{2.5} from 2014 to 2018 in spring, summer and fall (Fig. 1a,b,c), and in winter, the PM_{2.5} concentration in the year of 2015 (blue line and dots in Fig. 1d) is strikingly higher than any other single year for all the stations. The detailed mechanism will be discussed in the latter sections. In terms of the spatial heterogeneity, by comparing different stations for a specific season and year, the variations are in general not too large, with relatively lower value (five-year mean of 36 \pm 14 \mu g/m^3) in the rural area of Yangkou (north of Qingdao, location shown in Fig. 5b in the supporting information), followed by the coastal sites including Shinan-E (five-year mean of 38 \pm 14 \mu g/m^3) and Shinan-W (five-year mean of 39 \pm 17 \mu g/m^3), whereas the five-year mean in the other six stations ranges from 44 \pm 17 \mu g/m^3 to 51 \pm 20 \mu g/m^3, with the highest value in Licang where industry is relatively dense. Note that the so-called West Coast New Area of Qingdao (named Huangdao in Fig. 1), officially developed in 2014 and connected with the urban areas of Qingdao through the harbor tunnel and cross-sea bridge, ranks indeed the second polluted area, based on the five-year mean concentration (50 \pm 21 \mu g/m^3), among the nine
stations, posing air pollution challenges for this newly developed area.

The PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations in 2014–2018 are further compared with previous studies in order to argue the long-term variation in PM$_{2.5}$ in Qingdao. By conducting measurement at selected months in spring, autumn and winter, the mean value during 2011–2012 was found to be 102 ± 37 μg/m$^3$ for the same three-season during 2014–2018 (Fig. 1; values can also be retrieved from Table S1), implicative of an obvious decrease associated with more stringent mitigation of air pollutants in the North China Plain including Qingdao. At the semi-urban site of OUC, the mean PM$_{2.5}$ concentration was reported as 135 μg/m$^3$ in winter 2003 (two-week measurement (Cao et al., 2012)) and 110 μg/m$^3$ during the winter of 2006–2007 (Wu et al., 2017). In contrast, the mean seasonal PM$_{2.5}$ ranges from 59 ± 40 μg/m$^3$ to 82 ± 59 μg/m$^3$ during 2014–2018 in the corresponding site (Laoshan), indicating a concentration drop as well. Nevertheless, severe PM$_{2.5}$ pollution events in Qingdao still occurred sometimes during 2014–2018, i.e., taking the urban site of Laoshan as an example, the maximal daily mean PM$_{2.5}$ of 326 μg/m$^3$, with 26 days exceeding 150 μg/m$^3$.

In addition to the total PM$_{2.5}$ mass concentrations, their inorganic aerosol ions including SO$_4^{2-}$, NO$_3^-$ and NH$_4^+$ were also characterized and compared with previous observations in literature. The measurement results at the campus of OUC from 1 December 2015 to 1 January 2016 show mean concentrations of 12 ± 10, 16 ± 13, 10 ± 8 μg/m$^3$ for SO$_4^{2-}$, NO$_3^-$ and NH$_4^+$, respectively, dominated by NO$_3^-$.

Fig. 1. Seasonal mean PM$_{2.5}$ variations at nine stations of Qingdao. The location of the stations is shown in Fig. S1b in the supporting information.

4. Modeling analysis of severe PM$_{2.5}$ pollution events in Qingdao

4.1. Model evaluation of PM$_{2.5}$ and the inorganic aerosols against measurements

Since the abnormally high PM$_{2.5}$ occurred in the winter of 2015, mainly over December 2015 and January 2016, the three-dimensional model WRF–CMAQ thereby focused on investigating possible processes governing the accumulation of severe PM$_{2.5}$ pollution during December 2015 and January 2016.

First, the model evaluation was performed. The time series of daily mean concentration of simulated and observed PM$_{2.5}$ as well as the inorganic species including NO$_3^-$, SO$_4^{2-}$ and NH$_4^+$ is shown in Fig. 2. The statistical metrics, including Normalized Mean Bias (NMB), Normalized Mean Error (NME), Mean Fractional Bias (MFB), Mean Fractional Error (MFE) and correlation coefficient (R) are shown in Table 1, with equations for these metrics shown in the figures.

Fig. 2. Time series of daily mean concentration of simulated and observed PM$_{2.5}$ as well as the inorganic species including NO$_3^-$, SO$_4^{2-}$ and NH$_4^+$. For the inorganic species, the histograms indicate the observations, whereas the shading areas indicate simulated results from CMAQ. For PM$_{2.5}$, the solid black line, with black squares marked in the corresponding date, represents the simulated values while the observed values were denoted by the green dots. The dashed red line indicates the value of 150 μg/m$^3$, whereas the gray shading marks the four episodic events.
supporting information. Based on air quality index (AQI) (HJ 633-2012), severe pollution (level V) was defined as daily mean PM2.5 exceeding 150 μg/m³, marked in red dashed line in Fig. 2. The events with mean concentration reaching or exceeding the threshold was shaded in gray, including four events during 21–26 December, 29–31 December, 2–4 January, and 15–17 January. The criteria for MFB and MFE was commonly selected as 50% and 75% (US-EPA, 2007), and the MFB/MFE values in Table 1 satisfied the criteria.

Based on Fig. 2, the observational mean PM2.5 concentration over the two-month period was 92 μg/m³, whereas the model mean was 83 μg/m³, with mean bias of −9 μg/m³, less than 10%. The inorganic aerosol of SO$_4^{2-}$, NO$_3^−$ and NH$_4^+$ from the model accounted for 9%, 26% and 10%, respectively, with a total contribution of 45%, albeit of slightly higher, in general comparable to the observed value of 37%. During these four episodic events shaded in gray, the observed mean and simulated PM2.5 concentrations were 183 μg/m³ and 147 μg/m³, respectively, with mean model bias of 20%. Over the first-three events (observed ions missing in the fourth event), the contribution of observed inorganic aerosol including SO$_4^{2-}$ (12%), NO$_3^−$ (15%), NH$_4^+$ (10%) to PM2.5 is 37%, whereas the model well reproduced the contribution of 44%, with SO$_4^{2-}$, NO$_3^−$ and NH$_4^+$ of 7%, 27% and 10%, respectively. The model in general meets the benchmark criteria, with high correlation of greater than 0.82 for both PM2.5 and the associated inorganic species (Table 1).

The elucidation of the mechanism in particular of the sources and governing processes during these severe events may help to provide efficient control strategies. In the recent studies (Zhang et al., 2017, 2019d), they focused on two of the events (21–26 December and 2–4 January). One of the major findings is the transport from other regions plays a vital role (i.e., Fig. 5 in Zhang et al. (2019d)) in particular during the pollution period, i.e., more than half was contributed by the northern and southern regions of Qingdao (i.e., 16–22 December 2015; 1–6 January 2016). However, on the one hand, the region selections spanning a few provinces may not facilitate the actual control policies. To overcome this issue, we designed nine regions, which carefully considered the adjacent provinces and regions, as well as further areas; On the other hand, the zero out brute force method used in Zhang et al. (2019d) may not reflect the non-linearity resulting from complete emission shutting down, whereas the method of CMAQ-ISAM is designed in account of the nonlinearity in particular of the NOx chemistry and the subsequently nitrate formation (Kwok et al., 2013). Thus, we combine the ISAM with CMAQ, as well as the tool of process analysis, to elucidate the sources and main processes modulating the severe events.

### 4.2. The governing processes and source apportionment of PM$_2.5$ in Qingdao

During December 2015 and January 2016, there is a total of four major PM$_2.5$ episodic events, with two events partly investigated by Zhang et al. (2019d). We focus on the other events occurring on 29–31 December (Event I) and 15–17 January (Event II) to further explore the causes of heavy PM$_2.5$ pollution based on the combination of WRF/CMAQ, CMAQ-ISAM and WRF/FLEXPART. The contributions of different processes to near surface PM$_2.5$ are shown in Fig. 3a and b, with vertical profile of processes (within 1.5 km) shown in Fig. 3c and d. Note that the red line in Fig. 3a and b indicates the accumulated hourly change of PM$_2.5$, and the raw PM$_2.5$ concentration for each hour is the accumulated hourly change plus the base value at the starting hour (i.e., hour 00 on 29 December, 2015 in Fig. 3a). In other words, the variation of the red line is able to reflect the PM$_2.5$ fluctuation, albeit of smaller value due to the setting of starting value at 0 instead of the specific PM$_2.5$ hourly concentration.

In Event I (29–31 December 2015), the base PM$_2.5$ concentration, at the hour of 00:00 on 29 December 2015, was 132 μg/m³. The peak period, with the higher PM$_2.5$ concentrations, during Event I is from 06:00 on 30 December to 00:00 on 31 December (black square in Fig. 3a), showing the mean PM$_2.5$ concentration of 177 μg/m³. During the peak period, a fast increase in PM$_2.5$ concentrations is accompanied by a simultaneous drop in PBLH in the initial few hours, with mean PBLH of 468 m and the lowest value of only 100 m or so. The near-surface PM$_2.5$ enhancement is largely caused by downward vertical advection, enhanced aerosol chemistry reactions in NO$_x$ increasing reactants’ concentrations, and the positive vertical advection within the PBL corresponding to the negative vertical advection above the PBL (ZADV yellow bar Fig. 3c). The downward vertical advection is more obvious if the vertical profile of processes (results not shown) was drawn during the peak period (06:00–12:00 on 30 December 2015), indicated by the red line in Fig. 3a. It thereby illustrates that along the reduction of boundary layer height, air pollutants from the upper layer (i.e., above the PBL height) were transmitted to the ground and enhancing aerosol reactions (pink color, denoted as AERO, in Fig. 3c). Similarly, enhanced ozone concentration resulting from vertical mixing was also found by Khiem et al. (2010) for ground ozone concentration in the Kanto region of Japan; Zhang et al. (2017) found that PM$_2.5$ in Qingdao is derived from the long-range transport at a high altitude, and then vertically transmitted to the near ground, resulting in an increase in PM$_2.5$ concentration near ground from 1 to 7 January 2016.

In Event II, the base PM$_2.5$ concentration, at 00:00 on 15 January 2016, was 107 μg/m³. Through the peak PM$_2.5$ period from 23:00 on 16 January to 10:00 on 17 January 2016 (black square in Fig. 3b), the mean PM$_2.5$ concentration was 258 μg/m³. In comparison to Event I, the PBLH in Event II is even lower (Fig. 3b), resulting in even severer PM$_2.5$ pollution. The processes of vertical advection (ZADV) and aerosol chemistry (AERO), etc., promoted the increase in mass concentration of ground-level PM$_2.5$ (Fig. 3d), which is similar to the Event I discussed above.

To further elucidate the transport contribution to high PM$_2.5$ concentrations in Qingdao, CMAQ-ISAM is used to quantify the contributions of air pollutants from different regions. The air pollutants emitted by different regions can be thereby marked. After entering the chemical transport mode of CMAQ, these pollutants will go through a series of chemical and physical processes, during which ISAM can continuously update the tags and closely track the sources of various pollutants.

When CMAQ-ISAM is applied to track the sources of PM$_2.5$ in Qingdao, nine emission source regions are tagged, shown in Fig. 4, namely Qingdao (QD), Shandong except Qingdao (SD), Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei (BTH), Jiangsu (JS), Anhui (AH), Henan (HN), other regions (Northern China (NC), Southern China (SC) and Western China (WC)). In addition to the designated regions, ISAM automatically marks pollutants from the initial field (ICON), the boundary field (BICON) and other regions (OTHR). Due to the complex sources and compositions of PM$_2.5$, multiple species except secondary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PM$_2.5$</th>
<th>NO$_3^−$</th>
<th>SO$_4^{2−}$</th>
<th>NH$_4^+$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NMB</td>
<td>−10%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>−41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NME</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFB</td>
<td>−7%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>−31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFE</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
organic aerosol (SOA) were tagged and tracked separately. During December 2015 and January 2016, the mean contribution from the local emissions in Qingdao is 25% (daily minimal/maximal contribution of 11%/50%) with the northern and western areas including SD, BTH, NC and WC accounting for 49% (results not shown), due to the dominant northwesterly wind direction. These results are comparable to the study by Li et al. (2017), who used HYSPLIT model and found that 44% of total air masses were derived from north and west regions and contributed to the PM$_{2.5}$ pollution in Qingdao during the similar period of winter 2015.

During the severe haze events, i.e., Event I, (29–31 December 2015), the three largest contributions come from QD (18%), SD (18%) and BTH (16%), respectively, with the total contribution of 52%. In particular, over the peak PM$_{2.5}$ period (from 06:00 on 30 December to 00:00 on 31 December; indicated by the black square in Fig. 5a), the contribution from BTH increased to 26%, illustrating the increased long-range transport impact from the northern area. Similar findings were reported by Chen et al. (2017), using CMAQ-ISAM to investigate the PM$_{2.5}$ pollution in Lingcheng located in the Shandong Province, where the contributions of the local emissions were found to be only 15.4% in 2013 and 2014, and the percentage was even smaller, with value of only 11.6%, on the heavy haze days (Table 5 of Chen et al. (2017)).

In comparison to Event I, some differences exist in Event II (from 15–17 January 2016). The three largest contributions over QD, SD and NC are 24%, 19% and 12%, respectively, with the dominant contribution from QD plus SD, accounting for 43% (Fig. 5b). The dominant contribution value becomes even larger during the PM$_{2.5}$ peak period (from 23:00 on 16 January to 10:00 on 17 January; indicated by the black square in Fig. 5b), i.e., 54% from QD plus SD. Thus, compared to Event I during which the contribution of QD, SD and BTH is comparable to each other, in Event II, the contributions of QD and SD is substantially larger than NC or BTH. To explain the differences and clearly examine the track of the sources, Lagrangian model WRF-FLEXPART will be applied and discussed below.

WRF-FLEXPART version 3.1 is employed to run 24-h backward
trajectories five times each day, i.e., one trajectory every 6 h at local time 00:00, 06:00, 12:00, 18:00 and 24:00 during every event. The receptor location is at Qingdao (36.05°N, 120.30°E) with the altitude of 0–100 m above the ground level. For one trajectory, the total number of particles to be released is 1000. As verification, the trajectory produced by FLEXPART is used to compare with Hybrid Single Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory model (HYSPLIT; https://ready.arl.noaa.gov/HYSPLIT_traj.php), mainly considering the meteorological conditions of HYSPLIT directly comes from the reanalysis data. The results from HYSPLIT are in general consistent with the results from WRF-FLEXPART, therefore, no results from HYSPLIT are shown here.

During Event I, the PM$_{2.5}$ in the first 30 h of Event I (from 00:00 on 29 December to 06:00 on 30 December) is mainly from Shandong (yellow shading in Fig. 5a) and those provinces south of QD (JS in orange, AH in blue and HN in green; region shown in Fig. 4). After 06:00 on 30 December 2015, PBL becomes substantially low (only 100-m or so; Fig. 4a), the PM$_{2.5}$ accumulation is sufficiently fast, with a peak value of 218 μg/m$^3$ at the hour of 12:00 on 30 December 2015 and the contributions from QD (red) plus SD (yellow) account for 44% (Fig. 5a). After 12:00 the wind direction gradually shifts from the south to the north (pink to yellow and purple colors in Fig. 6b), leading to the BTH dominating the transport and contribution (Fig. 5a).

In Event II, the backward trajectories on 15 January 2016 concentrated over QD and SD (Fig. 6d), consistently with the large contributions from QD plus SD (Fig. 5b). On 16 January 2016, as reflected by FLEXPART (Fig. 6e), source regions expand further south including JS, AH and HN. Between 06:00 and 12:00 on 17 January 2016 (Fig. 6e), the transmission direction shifts from the south to the north. Liaoning province (NC) stands out as the main source region. Overall, the back trajectories show consistent results with the CMAQ-ISAM, indicating that the transport direction of air pollutants may modulate the impacts from different regions even in a short event period such as 3-days.

5. Conclusions

The processes of PM$_{2.5}$ pollution in Qingdao are investigated in this study, primarily through the process analysis and air-mass-trajectory-based source apportionment. During December 2015 and January 2016, the mean contribution from the local emissions in Qingdao is 25%, implicating the vital contribution from other sources, such as the long-range transport from BTH. Two three-day episodic events (29–31 December 2015; 15–17 January 2016) were selected to deeply analyze the sources of PM$_{2.5}$. During these two events, the three largest contributions come from QD (18%), SD (18%) and BTH (16%), and, QD (24%), SD (19%) and NC (12%).
indicating that besides the significant contribution from local emission, the SD and northern areas such as BTH and NC play vital roles in affecting the air pollution in Qingdao. From the Lagrangian model FLEXPART, it shows the air pathways vary quickly in a daily or hourly scale, which thereby modulates the contribution of the source region, including both the magnitude and the location. Therefore, the PM$_{2.5}$ control strategy needs to be flexible to take this aspect into consideration.

Through the combination of WRF/CMAQ, CMAQ-ISAM as well as the WRF/FLEXPART, we not only can quantify the processes modulating the PM$_{2.5}$ in each hour, but also can easily track the air pathways. The results in general indicate that the transport and source apportionments follow the trajectories relatively well. However, the air trajectories can conveniently indicate the transport pathways. In particular, the track of air parcel is essential to verify the source contribution revealed by CMAQ-ISAM. Overall, this study paves the road that for future prediction or control policy in Qingdao, it is likely the Lagrangian model can more or less yield a good and quick estimation of the sources rather than the computational intensive three dimensional model such as CMAQ.
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